Exhibit E.

Deposition of Jim DeFea

1	II	N UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
2		WESTERN DIVISION
3		
4	Patricia Gregers	on, Civ. 18-5044-JLV
5		Plaintiff,
6	-vs-	
7 8	Farm Bureau Prope Casualty Insuranc	
9		Defendant.
LO	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
L1		DEPOSITION OF
L2		
L3		Jim DeFea
L4	* * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
L5		Mar Day'al K. Dayallar
L6	APPEARANCES:	Mr. Daniel K. Brendtro Mr. Robert D. Trzynka Brendtro Law Firm
L7		Sioux Falls, South Dakota
L8		Attorneys for the Plaintiff.
L9		No. No
20		Mr. Mark J. Arndt Evans, Haigh & Hinton
21		Sioux Falls, South Dakota
22		Attorney for the Defendant.
23		
24		
25		

1		INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS			
2	By Mr.	Brendtro:	Pag	е	4
3		INDEX OF EXHIBITS			
4	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	REFERE	NCE	.D
5	1	Insurance policy	9,	11	
6	2	Claims file	8,	10	
7	3	12/29/16 Fritz letter to Holloway re	5		
8		coverage			
9					
10		* * * * * * *			
11					
12					
13					
14	(Origi	nal transcript and exhibits provided to Mr.	Brendt	ro.)
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

STIPULATION

It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between the above-named parties through their attorneys of record, whose appearances have been hereinabove noted, that the deposition of Jim DeFea may be taken at this time and place, that is, at the offices of Evans, Haigh & Hinton, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, on the 11th day of June, 2019, commencing at the hour of 3:30 p.m.; said deposition taken before Kerry Lange, FAPR, RMR, a Notary Public within and for the State of South Dakota; said deposition taken for the purpose of discovery or for use at trial or for each of said purposes, and said deposition is taken in accordance with the applicable Rules of Civil Procedure as if taken pursuant to written notice. Objections, except as to the form of the question, are reserved until the time of trial. Insofar as counsel are concerned, the reading and signing of the transcript by the witness are waived.

19

20

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

* * * * * * * * *

2122

23

JIM DEFEA,

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DAKOTAH REPORTING AGENCY 605-338-8898

1		EXAMINATION BY MR. BRENDTRO:
2	Q	Go ahead and state your name.
3	A	Jim DeFea.
4	Q	Jim, have you had your deposition taken before?
5	A	Yes, I have.
6	Q	How many times? Hang on.
7	A	Oh
8		MR. BRENDTRO: I'm going we've started the
9		deposition. I'm going to just reask those questions for
10		the video, if that is all right with you, Mark.
11		MR. ARNDT: Sure.
12	Q	Go ahead and state your name.
13	A	Jim DeFea.
14	Q	Jim, have you had your deposition taken before?
15	A	Yes, I have.
16	Q	How many times?
17	A	Five or six.
18	Q	Was it in the context of insurance disputes?
19	A	Yes, it was.
20	Q	Were any of those insurance disputes involving claims of
21		bad faith or claims of malpractice?
22	A	Yes, they were.
23	Q	Would that be all of them or just some of them?
24	A	I know two of them for sure. I can't remember for sure
25		about the others. I'm sorry.

- 1 Q I'll have you take a look at Exhibit 3. Were you involved in the hiring of Tom Fritz?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Was it your decision or someone else's decision?
- 5 A It was a joint decision.
- 6 Q What was the purpose of hiring Mr. Fritz?
- 7 A To facilitate discussions with Mr. Holloway.
- 8 Q Was his job to convey the position of Farm Bureau to 9 Mr. Holloway?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Was Mr. Fritz hired to evaluate and give advice about the
- 12 claim itself?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Are you familiar with the letter then that he sent on
- December 29th of 2016 to Mr. Holloway?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q Mr. Holloway was the Gregersons' attorney?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 Q In that letter, Mr. Fritz states that Farm Bureau has
- 20 concluded its investigation. Do you see that?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q Would that be an accurate statement as of December 19 --
- or sorry, as of December 29th, 2016?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q And the conclusion from its investigation is that Karl

1 Knutson converted the Gregersons' cattle? 2 It doesn't say that. Α 3 Would that be an accurate statement of what the Q 4 conclusion was? 5 Α Yes. 6 Do you know upon what date Farm Bureau made that 7 conclusion? I don't know the specific date. It would have been 8 Α 9 before this letter. 10 Would it have been while Leonard Gregerson was still 0 alive? 11 12 I'm not sure when Mr. Gregerson passed away. Α 13 Would it have been -- would that have been -- strike 14 Would the conclusion that Karl Knutson converted that. 15 Gregersons' cattle been Farm Bureau's position as of the 16 time that you and Leonard spoke on the phone in February 17 of 2015? 18 Yes. Α 19 And so Farm Bureau had concluded its investigation into Q 20 the facts long before this letter, is that accurate? 21 Α Yes. 22 The second part of Mr. Fritz's letter says that Farm Q Bureau has made the determination that there is coverage 23 under the policy. Do you see that? 24 25 Α I see that.

- Q Is that an accurate statement?
- 2 A Yes and no. We still to this day maintain our position
 3 of -- regarding the coverage and the exclusions that were
 4 applicable.
- 5 | O That was the conversion exclusion?
- 6 A Yes, sir.

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 7 Q How is it that Mr. Fritz came to write a letter then that 8 says there is coverage?
 - MR. ARNDT: Well, I'll object to the extent that it calls for speculation if you're asking about Attorney Fritz's mindset.
 - A I'm not sure what Tom's intent was by choosing this verbiage. It wasn't our decision that the coverage exclusions were not in place as they had been. I don't know what Tom's intent was by choosing these words.
- 16 Q Did you review this letter around the time of 17 December 29th, 2016 after he sent it?
- 18 A After he sent it, yes.
- 19 Q Did you talk to him about the language of his letter 20 after he sent it?
- 21 A I did not.
- Q And so you did not challenge his statement that Farm
 Bureau made the determination that there was coverage
 under the policy?
- 25 A I did not.

- Do you know if anybody from Farm Bureau challenged 1 Q 2 Mr. Fritz's conclusion? 3 I know that everyone at Farm Bureau had the same opinion 4 about coverage as I had. That the conversion exclusion applied? 5 Q 6 Α Yes. 7 And the conversion exclusion is something that -- well, Q 8 is the exclusion that you're relying upon when you sent 9 Leonard and Patty Gregerson a letter in February of 2015? That exclusion and others. 10 Α If you turn to Exhibit 2, Page 286. Is that the letter 11 Q 12 that you wrote to Leonard and Patty denying coverage for 13 their cattle loss? 14 Yes, it is. Α 15 Where does it say that you're relying upon the conversion Q 16 exclusion? 17 Α I believe we would have intended it to be part of -- on Page 7, Paragraph 2, "Additional Exclusions Applicable to 18 19 Animals, Number 5, Infidelity of your employees or other 20 persons to whom your animals are entrusted." And also Number 6? 21 Q
- 22 A We would have relied upon that as well; "Escape
 23 mysterious disappearance, wrongful conversion, or
 24 embezzlement."
- 25 Q And this wasn't a mysterious disappearance, though. We

- had evidence of conversion, right?
- 2 A The animals were never found, so any one of the items
 3 listed on 4, 5 or 6 or 7 would have triggered the
 4 coverage consideration.
- Q And does the letter say where in the policy we can find those?
 - A I'm not sure. It references the scheduled personal property module back on Page FB0290. And then we would have provided a full copy of the policy for that module when we received it.
- Q Okay. And that property module has a code on it,
 PKSD.MSCHP.0508?
- 13 A That's correct.
- Q And that refers to a policy module in the Gregerson policy?
- 16 A Yes, sir.

1

7

8

9

- Q Let's turn to that module in Exhibit 1 of Gregersons'
 policy. First, do you agree that is a certified copy of
 the Gregerson policy?
- 20 A Yes, it.
- Q And you turn to the second page. Do you agree that it was the policy that was in force at the time of the loss?
- 23 A Yes, it is.
- Q Okay. And then can you then turn to that module that you referenced, PKSD.MSCHP.0508 which I think you called the

schedule of personal property module.

- A It might take me a few minutes to find that. I'm not sure what order this is in. (Reviewing.) Looks like it starts on Page 173.
- Q And so if I'm on Page 173 of the policy, you're saying that is module PKSD.MSCHP.0508?
- 7 A I'm showing PKSD.SPROP.508.

1

2

3

4

5

6

15

16

- 8 Q So that's -- that's different then, right?
- 9 A Property section. (Reviewing.) Oh, I'm sorry. PK -10 PKSD.MFRPP.508.
- Q On Page 5 of your letter you described it as
 PKSD.MSCHP.0508? Your letter was Page 290 of Exhibit 2.
- 13 A That's for the scheduled, and the farm/ranch personal 14 property would be the PKSD.MFRP.508 -- 0508. I'm sorry.
 - Q Okay. And so are you telling me then that those exclusions listed on Page 292 of your letter are not from PKSD.MSCHP.0508?
- (Reviewing.) PKSD.SPROP.0508 on Page FB288 discusses the 18 Α 19 named causes of loss, with reference on Page FB0289 to 20 Theft. We cover direct physical loss to or of "10. 21 covered property caused by theft or attempted theft. 22 There is no coverage for g., loss of farm/ranch personal 23 property or business personal property by losing, 24 misplacing, mysterious disappearance or where the only 25 evidence of a shortage is disclosed upon taking an

- 1 inventory."
- Q We were talking, though, about a conversion exclusion on Page 292.
- 4 A PKSD.MSCHP.0508.
- 5 Q Would it help you to look at the list of policy forms and endorsements?
- 7 A No. I'm looking for the form in with the policy material
 8 here. (Reviewing.) I'm not seeing that form here unless
 9 it's -- I'm missing it.
- 10 Q Can you turn to Page 74 of Exhibit 1.
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 | Q Is there a listing of policy forms and endorsements?
- 13 A Yes, there is.
- Q And do you see PKSD.MSCHP.0508 listed on the Gregersons'
- policy forms and endorsements?
- 16 A I do not.
- 17 | Q That would not be part of the policy, would it?
- 18 A That would be correct.
- 19 Q But nonetheless in your letter you quoted extensively
- 20 from that, including on Pages 5, 6 and 7. Correct?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q And in so doing, you misrepresented the policy provisions
- 23 to the Gregersons?
- 24 A My only explanation is a typo.
- 25 Q A typo that --

- 1 A Of the form referenced.
- Q So it's your testimony then that that exclusion on Page 7 of your letter appears somewhere in the policy, meaning
- 4 the conversion exclusion?
- 5 A The conversion exclusion would apply to scheduled property.
 - Q My question, though, is it your testimony that there is a conversion exclusion for scheduled property that exists in this policy?
- 10 | A Yes.

8

- 11 | Q Where is it?
- 12 A In the pol -- oh, in the Gregersons' policy, they did --
- no, they did not have any animal specifically scheduled.
- 14 Their animals were scheduled by class, so the coverage
- 15 would be different.
- Q So these exclusions and all this policy languaged listed on Pages 5, 6 and 7 isn't in the policy?
- 18 A That form is not attached to their policy, no.
- 19 Q It's not included in their policy, is it?
- 20 A Correct.
- Q And so it would be improper to apply exclusions to the Gregersons' claim that aren't part of the policy, right?
- 23 A That's correct.
- Q It would be improper to misrepresent policy provisions to the Gregersons that aren't in their policy, is that

1		correct?
2	А	That's correct.
3	Q	It would not be fair to the Gregersons for that to
4		happen, would it?
5	A	That would be correct.
6	Q	Mr. DeFea, how long have you worked at Farm Bureau?
7	A	Twenty-five years.
8	Q	Did you have a job prior to Farm Bureau working in
9		insurance?
10	A	I worked for ten years for Colonial Nationwide and I
11		worked three years for American Family.
12	Q	When you started at Farm Bureau, what was what was
13		your position or your job duties?
14	A	Senior claims rep, field, multi-line.
15	Q	How long were you in that position?
16	A	From '93 until '98.
17	Q	As a senior claims staffer, what did you do?
18	A	Multi-line claims, property and casualty.
19	Q	Did some of those involve livestock?
20	A	Yes, they did.
21	Q	As part of that function in the company, did you were
22		you trained about Farm Bureau's practices?
23	A	Yes, I was.
24	Q	Farm Bureau taught you how to read a policy?
25	A	Yes, they did.

- 1 Q Taught you where to go look for a policy?
- 2 A Yes, they did.
- 3 | Q Taught you how to apply facts to the policy provisions?
- 4 A Yes, they did.
- 5 Q After 1998, what was your role at Farm Bureau?
- 6 A I was with the Iowa Farm Bureau as a bodily injury
- 7 specialist. I'm not sure about the exact title.
- 8 Q Car crash cases?
- 9 A Yeah.
- 10 Q How long was --
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 | Q -- your position with --
- 13 A I was in Iowa for two years.
- 14 Q After that?
- 15 A I moved back to South Dakota.
- 16 Q What was your function then in South Dakota?
- 17 A I actually worked for the Minnesota Farm Bureau as a
- 18 senior field claim rep.
- 19 Q How long did you have that role?
- 20 A Ten years.
- 21 Q And your role after that?
- 22 A I was the South Dakota business center claim consultant.
- 23 Q Tell me what you do in that role.
- 24 A That was essentially the claim manager role.
- 25 Q Meaning you managed the adjustors?

1 Α Correct. 2 In your claims experience prior to being a claims Q 3 manager, did you handle livestock claims? 4 Α Yes. Theft claims? 5 0 6 Α Yes. 7 In your role as a claims manager, did you oversee Q 8 livestock claims? 9 Α Yes. 10 Including theft of livestock claims? 11 Α Yes. 12 Did you participate in drafting denial letters to other Q 13 farmers in livestock theft claims? 14 Α Yes. 15 Do you have an estimate of how many? 16 Α No. How long were you the South Dakota claims manager? 17 Q April of 2010 until Drew's arrival. I think it was 2015. 18 Α 19 I'm not sure about the exact date. 20 Would -- would July of 2016 sound about right? Q 21 That would sound about right. Α 22 And during those approximately six years as the claims Q

manager, would every adjustor who had a livestock theft

claim have to run that by you if they were denying

23

24

25

coverage?

- 1 A They would start with me, yes.
- 2 Q Tell me what you mean by that.
- 3 A Well, I report to Kevin McCoy in Des Moines.
- 4 Q Would that be true for every livestock theft claim denial?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q That the adjustor would contact you and you contact Kevin 8 McCoy?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 0 What's the reason for that?
- 11 A Oversight. More eyes. Make sure we're doing it correctly.
- Q And then my understanding of the limits of authority for your adjustors is that you would then write the denial letter. Is that correct?
- 16 A I -- if they were versed in drafting the initial
 17 disclaimer, it would come to me, I would approve it, and
 18 then we would set it up in front of Kevin or others to
 19 decide any edits that would be necessary.
- Q Did you have a standard form that you used in South Dakota?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q Had you drafted other denial letters --
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q -- on policies -- okay. Hang on. I got to finish my

- 1 question.
- 2 Α I'm sorry.

4

5

6

7

8

- I paused and made it complicated. Do you believe that Q you drafted other denial letters for Farm Bureau Insurance who did have that policy module in their policy, PKSD.MSCHP.0508?
 - I don't recall any specific cattle loss denials in that Α time frame involving similar facts. So I can't answer I don't recall. yes or no.
- 10 Now, that was not my question. Would other customers of Q 11 Farm Bureau have had that provision as part of their 12 policy?
- 13 Depending on how they insured their livestock, yes. Α
- 14 Your testimony is that that's an actual policy provision Q 15 somewhere in the Farm Bureau universe?
- 16 Yes, it is. Α
- 17 Q As a state claims manager for South Dakota, did you have 18 access to lists or accounts or evaluations of the types 19 of claims that were being handled by your claims 20 managers?
- I'm sorry, I don't quite understand that question. 21 Α
- 22 Okay. Let me -- maybe I'll just jump ahead. Do you have Q 23 a way in the Farm Bureau system to look up livestock theft cases that you would have handled during that time 24
- 25 frame?

- 1 A Not me personally. I don't know what master list might 2 capture that data. I do not have access to that.
- Q Does the claim file record losses in a certain way when it's cattle theft?
- 5 A I don't know, but I would have to believe there is some potential for that. I don't -- I just don't know.
 - Q Is one of the reasons that you believe that is because that's how underwriting would know if it's doing its job right?
- 10 A No. I -- I don't do underwriting.
- 11 Q During the six-year span that you were the claim manager
 12 for South Dakota, do you have an estimate of how many
 13 cattle theft cases came across your desk?
- 14 A No.

8

- 15 Q Would it be more than 10,000?
- 16 A Cattle claim losses?
- 17 Q Correct.
- A I would say in the six years that I was claim manager, we handled a total of -- and I'm -- I'm speculating somewhat, around 50,000 claims total, with the largest majority being storm-related claims.
- Q And so 10,000 cattle theft claims would be too high for that six-year time period?
- 24 A Oh, that would be too high in my entire career.
- 25 Q During that six-year time frame, you think you had more

- 1 than 500 cattle theft claims?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q More than a hundred?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q More than 50?
- 6 A No.
- 7 | Q More than 10?
- 8 A I would say that might be closer to the number.
- 9 Q And we're talking about cattle theft claims that you
 10 would have overseen as a manager during that six-year
 11 time period from 2010 to 2016?
- 12 A Correct.
- Q In your prior role as an adjustor -- would that be accurate to describe what you were?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 | Q How many cattle theft claims came across your desk?
- 17 A Let's see, in the course of 17 years of field work,
- 18 probably a dozen.
- 19 Q And if I put those numbers together, 17 years, 12, six
- 20 years with ten, understanding that these are estimates,
- over 23 years, you think you handled somewhere around 22
- 22 cattle theft claims?
- 23 A I would only be speculating, but to my recollection,
- 24 that's a fair number.
- 25 | Q Okay. Do you recall how many cattle theft claims you

1 would have approved for coverage? 2 I recall paying more than denying. I think I probably Α 3 only denied less than a half a dozen. 4 Are you familiar with what protocols or systems are in Q 5 place at Farm Bureau to make sure the claims are handled 6 accurately? 7 Α Yes. 8 What are those? Q 9 Best practices and review by management, supervisors. Α 10 And what are the best practices? 0 11 Α They're guidelines for claims handling from auto, 12 property, casualty. And they're best practices for cattle theft claims? 13 Q 14 I don't have best practices committed to memory, but Α there would be references in there on how to handle a 15 16 claim involving a certain dollar value, and certainly a 17 cattle claim would probably meet that threshold. 18 And do those best practices include using the correct Q 19 policy provisions? 20 Correct. Α Are you familiar with any protocols that are in place to 21 Q 22 make sure that Farm Bureau's adjustors are actually using 23 the correct portions of the policy? 24 Α Yes. 25 Q What protocols or rules are those?

1 Review by management. Α 2 And who is management? Q 3 Well, it would be the state claim manager and Kevin 4 McCoy. 5 And so when the state claim manager is the one making the Q 6 decision, then it would be Kevin McCoy's job to -- to 7 review your work? 8 Α Kevin would critique my work. Whether he roundtables it 9 with anybody else would be up to him. 10 And what's Kevin's job title? Q 11 Α Property claim manager. 12 And was he in that role in 2014 and '15? Q 13 Α Yes. 14 Would he be your supervisor at that point in time in Q 15 2015? 16 Α I don't know if it's fair to say he's a supervisor as 17 much as if you have a coverage determination for 18 property, you would take it to him. Equally there's 19 managers that would review casualty. So it's a 20 specialization. 21 The difference between casualty and -- what did you say Q 22 Kevin was? He was a --23 Property claim manager. Α

Well, casualty would be your auto accidents and liability

Difference between property and casualty is what?

24

25

Q

Α

1 claims. Property is just what it references, property. 2 Casualty would be Mr. Petrik? Q 3 Α Yes. 4 What would Mr. Petrik's role be on a claim like this Q 5 involving --6 Charles would --Α 7 -- cattle theft? Q 8 -- would be, as I referenced the additional person with 9 the contract knowledge and the experience to make sure that we do things appropriately and correctly. 10 11 Q And so would you have provided a copy of your -- your 12 letter, your February 2015 letter, to both Charles and to 13 Kevin? 14 Α Yes. 15 And they both would have reviewed it? 0 16 Α Yes. 17 Q And they both would have had to have approved it for you to send it out? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Would you say that you report to either one of those two 0 at that point in time or do you report to somebody else? 21 22 I -- I would say that in the hierarchy of Farm Α 23 Bureau, they're what's called shared services. in a different department in Des Moines. And the claim 24

managers in any state would report these types of claims,

- coverage denials, serious claims, to the appropriate
 manager, whether it's property or casualty, and they
 would provide oversight.
- Q So for particular claims, they would -- you would report to them on claims?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q In the hierarchy, is there someone that you then report to administratively that's your boss?
- 9 A Administratively in South Dakota would have been D.J.
 10 Wittrock. He was the business center director.
- 11 | Q And that's based out of Sioux Falls?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Do you know what the duties are of the business center director?
- 15 A Well, D.J.'s duties would have included underwriting and
 16 marketing and he would have been involved in property/
 17 casualty, but not on a daily basis. It's -- I would not
 18 have been obligated to taking a claim question or report
 19 to him. It would have been going either to Charles or
 20 Kevin.
- Q And so his function is -- is more just managing the business side of things?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q In his role managing the business side of things in the South Dakota territory, did he discuss concepts like loss

ratio with you? 2 A Yes.

- 3 Q And did he track the loss ratios for South Dakota?
- 4 A Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

- Q Did you have goals about the loss ratios forSouth Dakota?
 - A At his level, he would have had a goal. In my level I don't get so much concerned or involved with that. A claim is what a claim is.
 - Q Did Mr. Petrik or Mr. McCoy have an interest in loss ratios?
- 12 I can't answer that question as to what their specific Α 13 responsibilities were. Would they have an interest in I think anybody in the insurance business has a, you 14 15 know, an interest in the loss ratio. It's a measurement 16 number. So to say that he had no interest, I -- that 17 would probably not be true. But I don't understand that they would have had any goal setting loss ratio. 18 19 were never -- they never expressed that to me.
 - Q Is it accurate that you were the South Dakota claims manager during the time that Jim Brannen became CEO of Farm Bureau?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And following his installation as the CEO, did you notice a change in how Farm Bureau operated?

- 1 A No.
- 2 | Q Did you notice a change in how claims were handled?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Did you notice a change in goals or other numbers that
- 5 the company was looking at?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Is your position salaried or is there a bonus
- 8 associated --
- 9 A Salary.
- 10 | Q So there's no bonus at all?
- 11 A No bonus at all.
- 12 | Q And so your -- your salary is just dependent upon your
- 13 | showing up to work?
- 14 A Well, I suppose I have to do my job.
- 15 Q And -- and doing your job?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q And people above you are -- are bonused and have other
- 18 incentives?
- 19 A I don't have any access to what they get paid. I haven't
- 20 a clue.
- 21 | Q Are you familiar that levels above you are positions that
- 22 involve bonuses?
- 23 A I pretty much worry about Jim DeFea and the things he's
- responsible for. I don't worry about Jim Brannen or the
- 25 people at his level.

- 1 Q I understand that on a day-to-day basis you're not
 2 worried about that. My question is are you aware that
 3 employees above you are compensated with bonuses?
- 4 A I don't know if they are or if they are not. I don't look at that. I don't pay any attention to that.
- 6 Q People above you like D.J. Wittrock were concerned about the loss ratio?
- 8 A I would have to believe that's D.J.'s responsibility,9 profitability and rating, marketing.
 - Q And it was your understanding that loss ratio is connected to profitability?
- 12 A Of course is it, yes.
- 13 | Q Why is that?

11

24

- 14 Well, if we want to remain competitive in this business, Α 15 we have to some checks and balances enforced to be 16 competitive in the rate world. There's a lot of 17 different carriers in this state, and if we pay every 18 claim at whatever anybody asks and pay no attention to 19 expenses, we wouldn't be here very long. The rest of our 20 competitors would, through a variety of different 21 mechanisms, remove us from this marketplace.
- 22 Q Has the market gotten more competitive in recent years?
- 23 A Oh, I -- I'm sure it has.
 - Q Would you agree then that the pressure on profitability has increased in recent years?

- 1 Α I can't imagine that the pressure on profitability hasn't 2 affected every carrier out there, but I don't see how it 3 couldn't.
- 4 Do you have an estimate of the time frame of when you Q 5 think that started to happen?
- 6 Probably when interest rates changed. Α
- 7 And would that mean post-2008? Q
- Oh, I would say long before that. You know, we used to make more money on return on investment, which obviously makes permissible loss ratios and expenses not as much of 11 a factor, and that along with just more competition, 12 that's the environment.
- 13 I'm trying to pin down when the interest rates would have Q 14 changed just from my memory. Would that be 2000 then?
- 15 Well, I know in the '80s you could make 14 percent, and Α 16 we've gradually got down to two percent. So it's been 17 the same effect for everyone. I'm not an economist.
- No, but you've been in the business for 40 --18 Q
- 19 Α 40 years.
- 20 0 -- years?
- 21 Α Yes.

9

- 22 MR. BRENDTRO: Let's take a quick break.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Better go put some money in 24 the meter.
- 25 (Recess at 4:12 p.m.)

MR. BRENDTRO: Mr. DeFea, I have no further questions 1 2 for you. 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. 4 MR. ARNDT: Okay. Jim, you have got a right to 5 review your deposition transcript before it would become 6 certified. I'm going to recommend that you take that 7 opportunity, that Kerry send you a copy of the transcript 8 and you review it for any corrections or clarifications 9 that you would want to make. Is that okay? 10 Yes, it is. THE WITNESS: Okay. So, Kerry, if you could send us an 11 MR. ARNDT: 12 errata sheet and the transcript, I'll get it to Jim. 13 THE REPORTER: Okay. (Witness excused at 4:23 p.m.) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	
1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) : CERTIFICATE
2	COUNTY OF MINNEHAHA)
3	
4	I, Kerry Lange, Court Reporter and Notary Public, do
5	hereby certify that the witness was first duly sworn by me to
6	testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
7	truth relative to the matter under consideration; that the
8	reading and signing of the deposition was not waived by the
9	witness for reasons as hereinbefore stated; that the
10	foregoing pages 1 - 28, inclusive, are a true and correct
11	transcript of my stenotype notes.
12	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee
13	or attorney or counsel of any of the parties or a relative or
14	employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not
15	financially interested in this action.
16	In testimony whereof, I have hereto affixed my signature
17	this 17th day of June, 2019.
18	ν
19	Kerry Lange
20	
21	Kerry Lange
22	Commission Expires: 7/12/23
23	
24	

1	Pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read
2	the foregoing pages 1 - 28, inclusive, and have noted any
3	and all changes in form or substance desired in my testimony,
4	and have signed below on the day of, 2019.
5	
6	Page & Line No. Change in Answer Reason for Change
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Jim DeFea
23	O IIII Derea
24	Notary Signature:
25	My Commission Expires:

0	3:30 3:8	accurate 5:22 6:3,20 7:1 19:14 24:20	attorneys 3:3
	4	accurately 20:6	authority 16:13
0508 10:14		actual 17:14	auto 20:11 21:25
	4 9:3	additional 8:18 22:8	aware 26:2
1	40 27:18,19	adjustor 15:23 16:7	В
1 9:17 11:10	4:12 27:25	19:13	
10 10:20 19:7	4:23 28:14	adjustors 14:25 16:14	back 9:8 14:15
10,000 18:15,22		20:22	bad 4:21
11th 3:7	5	administratively 23:8,9	balances 26:15
12 19:19	5 8:19 9:3 10:11 11:20	advice 5:11	based 23:11
14 27:15	12:17	affected 27:2	basis 23:17 26:1
15 21:12	50 19:5	agree 9:18,21 26:24	bodily 14:6
17 19:17,19	50,000 18:20	agreed 3:2	bonus 25:7,10,11
173 10:4,5	500 19:1	ahead 4:2,12 17:22	bonused 25:17
19 5:22	6	alive 6:11	bonuses 25:22 26:3
1998 14:5		American 13:11	boss 23:8
	6 8:21 9:3 11:20 12:17	animal 12:13	Brannen 24:21 25:24
2		animals 8:19,20 9:2	break 27:22
2 8:11,18 10:12	7	12:14	BRENDTRO 4:1,8 27:2
2000 27:14	7 8:18 9:3 11:20 12:2,17	appearances 3:4	28:1
2010 15:18 19:11	74 11:10	appears 12:3	Bureau 5:8,19 6:6,19,2 7:23 8:1,3 13:6,8,12,24
2014 21:12		applicable 3:13 7:4 8:18	14:5,6,17 17:4,11,15,23
2015 6:17 8:9 15:18 21:15	8	applied 8:5	20:5 22:23 24:22,25
22:12	80s 27:15	apply 12:5,21 14:3	Bureau's 6:15 13:22 20:22
2016 5:15,23 7:17 15:20		appropriately 22:10	
19:11	9	approve 16:17	business 10:23 14:22 23:10,13,22,24 24:14
2019 3:8	02 40:40	approved 20:1 22:17	26:14 27:18
22 19:21	93 13:16	approximately 15:22	c
23 19:21	98 13:16	April 15:18	
286 8:11		ARNDT 4:11 7:9 28:4,11	called 3:24 9:25 22:23
290 10:12		arrival 15:18	calls 7:10
292 10:16 11:3	above-named 3:3	asks 26:18	capture 18:2
29th 5:15,23 7:17	access 17:18 18:2 25:19	attached 12:18	Car 14:8
	accidents 21:25	attempted 10:21	career 18:24
3	accordance 3:13	attention 26:5,18	carrier 27:2
3 5:1	accounts 17:18	attorney 5:17 7:10	carriers 26:17

cases 14:8 17:24 18:13 **casualty** 13:18 20:12 21:19,21,24,25 22:2 23:2, 17

cattle 6:1,15 8:13 17:7 18:4,13,16,22 19:1,9,16, 22,25 20:13,17 22:7

caused 10:21

center 14:22 23:10,13

CEO 24:21,24

certified 9:18 28:6

challenge 7:22

challenged 8:1

change 24:25 25:2,4

changed 27:6,14

Charles 22:6,12 23:19

checks 26:15

choosing 7:12,15

Civil 3:14

claim 5:12 12:22 14:18, 22,24 15:24 16:4 18:3,11, 16,18 20:16,17 21:3,5,11, 23 22:4,24 23:18 24:9 26:18

claims 4:20,21 13:14,17, 18 15:2,3,5,7,8,10,13,17, 22 17:17,19 18:20,21,22 19:1,9,16,22,25 20:5,11, 13 22:1,25 23:1,4,5 24:20 25:2

clarifications 28:8

class 12:14

closer 19:8

clue 25:20

code 9:11

Colonial 13:10

commencing 3:8

committed 20:14

company 13:21 25:5

compensated 26:3

competition 27:11

competitive 26:14,16,22

competitors 26:20

complicated 17:3

concepts 23:25

concerned 3:17 24:8

26:6

concluded 5:20 6:19

conclusion 5:25 6:4,7,14

0.2

connected 26:11

consideration 9:4

consultant 14:22

contact 16:7

context 4:18

contract 22:9

conversion 7:5 8:5,7,15, 23 9:1 11:2 12:4,5,8

converted 6:1,14

convey 5:8

copy 9:9,18 22:11 28:7

correct 9:13 11:18,20,21 12:20,23 13:1,2,5 15:1 16:15 18:17 19:12 20:18, 20,23

corrections 28:8

correctly 16:12 22:10

counsel 3:17

cover 10:20

coverage 6:23 7:3,8,13, 23 8:4,12 9:4 10:22 12:14 15:25 20:1 21:17 23:1

covered 10:21

crash 14:8

critique 21:8

customers 17:10

D

D.J. 23:9 26:6

D.j.'s 23:15 26:8

daily 23:17

Dakota 3:7,10 14:15,16, 22 15:17 16:21 17:17 18:12 23:9,25 24:3,6,20

data 18:2

date 6:6,8 15:19

day 3:77:2

day-to-day 26:1

December 5:15,22,23

7:17

decide 16:19

decision 5:4,5 7:13 21:6

Defea 3:5,23 4:3,13 13:6 25:23 28:1

denial 15:12 16:5,14,23

denials 17:7 23:1

denied 20:3

17:4

denying 8:12 15:24 20:2

department 22:24

dependent 25:12

Depending 17:13

deposition 3:5,9,11,13 4:4,9,14 28:5

Des 16:3 22:24

describe 19:14

desk 18:13 19:16

determination 6:23 7:23 21:17

difference 21:21,24

direct 10:20

director 23:10,14

disappearance 8:23,25

10:24

disclaimer 16:17

disclosed 10:25

discovery 3:11

discuss 23:25

discusses 10:18

discussions 5:7

disputes 4:18,20

dollar 20:16

dozen 19:18 20:3

drafted 16:23 17:4

drafting 15:12 16:16

Drew's 15:18

duly 3:24

duties 13:13 23:13,15

Ε

economist 27:17

edits 16:19

effect 27:17

else's 5:4

embezzlement 8:24

employees 8:19 26:3

 $\boldsymbol{endorsements} \quad 11:6,12,$

15

enforced 26:15

entire 18:24

entrusted 8:20

environment 27:12

Equally 21:18

errata 28:12

Escape 8:22

essentially 14:24

estimate 15:15 18:12 27:4

estimates 19:20

evaluate 5:11

evaluations 17:18

Evans 3:6

evidence 9:1 10:25

exact 14:7 15:19

EXAMINATION 4:1

exclusion 7:5 8:5,7,8,10, 16 11:2 12:2,4,5,8

exclusions 7:3,14 8:18 10:16 12:16,21

excused 28:14

Exhibit 5:1 8:11 9:17 10:12 11:10

exists 12:8

expenses 26:19 27:10

experience 15:2 22:9

explanation 11:24

expressed 24:19

extensively 11:19

extent 7:9

eyes 16:11

F

facilitate 5:7

factor 27:11

facts 6:20 14:3 17:8

fair 13:3 19:24 21:16

faith 4:21

Falls 3:7 23:11

familiar 5:14 20:4,21

25:21

Family 13:11

FAPR 3:9

Farm 5:8,19 6:6,15,19,22 7:22 8:1,3 13:6,8,12,22,24 14:5,6,17 17:4,11,15,23 20:5,22 22:22 24:22,25

farm/ranch 10:13,22

farmers 15:13

FB0289 10:19

FB0290 9:8

FB288 10:18

February 6:16 8:9 22:12

field 13:14 14:18 19:17

file 18:3

find 9:5 10:2

finish 16:25

force 9:22

form 3:15 11:7,8 12:1,18

16:20

forms 11:5,12,15

found 9:2

frame 17:8,25 18:25 27:4

Fritz 5:2,6,11,19 7:7

Fritz's 6:22 7:11 8:2

front 16:18

full 9:9

function 13:21 14:16

23:21

G

give 5:11

goal 24:7,18

goals 24:5 25:4

gradually 27:16

Gregerson 6:10,12 8:9 9:14,19

Gregersons 11:23 12:25

Gregersons' 5:17 6:1,15

9:17 11:14 12:12,22

guidelines 20:11

н

Haigh 3:6

half 20:3

handle 15:3 20:15

handled 17:19,24 18:19

19:21 20:5 25:2

handling 20:11

Hang 4:6 16:25

happen 13:4 27:5

hereinabove 3:4

hierarchy 22:22 23:7

high 18:22,24

Hinton 3:7

hired 5:11

hiring 5:2,6

Holloway 5:7,9,15,17

hour 3:8

hundred 19:3

- 1

imagine 27:1

improper 12:21,24

incentives 25:18

include 20:18

included 12:19 23:15

including 11:20 15:10

increased 26:25

Infidelity 8:19

initial 16:16

injury 14:6

installation 24:24

insurance 4:18,20 13:9

17:5 24:14

insured 17:13

intended 8:17

intent 7:12,15

interest 24:10,13,15,16

27:6,13

inventory 11:1

investigation 5:20,25

6:19

investment 27:9

involve 13:19 25:22

involved 5:2 23:16 24:8

involving 4:20 17:8

20:16 22:5

lowa 14:6,13

items 9:2

.I

Jim 3:5,23 4:3,4,13,14 24:21 25:23,24 28:4,12

job 5:8 13:8,13 18:8 21:6, 10 25:14,15

joint 5:5

July 15:20

jump 17:22

June 3:8

K

Karl 5:25 6:14

Kerry 3:9 28:7,11

Kevin 16:3,7,18 21:3,6,8,

22 22:13 23:20

Kevin's 21:10

knowledge 22:9

Knutson 6:1,14

L

Lange 3:9

language 7:19

languaged 12:16

largest 18:20

Leonard 6:10,16 8:9,12

letter 5:14,19 6:9,20,22 7:7,16,19 8:9,11 9:5 10:11,12,16 11:19 12:3 16:15 22:12

letters 15:12 16:23 17:4

level 24:7 25:25

levels 25:21

liability 21:25

limits 16:13

list 11:5 18:1 material 11:7 persons 8:20 0 listed 9:3 10:16 11:14 **Mccoy** 16:3,8 21:4 24:10 Petrik 22:2 24:10 12:16 Mccoy's 21:6 Petrik's 22:4 object 7:9 **listing** 11:12 meaning 12:3 14:25 **phone** 6:16 **Objections** 3:15 lists 17:18 measurement 24:15 physical 10:20 obligated 23:18 livestock 13:19 15:3,8, mechanisms 26:21 pin 27:13 offices 3:6 10.13.23 16:4 17:13.23 meet 20:17 **PK** 10:9 operated 24:25 long 6:20 13:6,15 14:10, 19 15:17 26:19 27:8 memory 20:14 27:14 **PKSD.MFRP.508** 10:14 opinion 8:3 **losing** 10:23 PKSD.MFRPP.508. meter 27:24 opportunity 28:7 10:10 loss 8:13 9:22 10:19,20, mindset 7:11 **order** 10:3 PKSD.MSCHP.0508 22 17:7 23:25 24:3,5,10, Minnesota 14:17 oversee 15:7 15,18 26:7,10 27:10 9:12,25 10:6,12,17 11:14 minutes 10:2 17:6 overseen 19:10 losses 18:3,16 PKSD.MSCHP.0508. misplacing 10:24 oversight 16:11 23:3 **lot** 26:16 11:4 misrepresent 12:24 PKSD.SPROP.0508 Ρ Μ misrepresented 11:22 10:18 missing 11:9 p.m. 3:8 27:25 28:14 **PKSD.SPROP.508.** 10:7 made 6:6.23 7:23 17:3 **module** 9:8,9,11,14,17,24 Pages 11:20 12:17 **place** 3:6 7:14 20:5,21 maintain 7:2 10:1.6 17:5 **paid** 25:19 point 21:14 22:21 majority 18:21 Moines 16:3 22:24 Paragraph 8:18 **pol** 12:12 make 16:11 20:5,22 22:9 money 27:9,23 27:9,15 28:9 part 6:22 8:17 11:17 policies 16:25 moved 14:15 12:22 13:21 17:11 **makes** 27:10 **policy** 6:24 7:24 9:5,9,14, multi-line 13:14,18 participate 15:12 15,18,19,22 10:5 11:5,7, making 21:5 mysterious 8:23,25 12,15,17,22 12:3,9,12,16, parties 3:3 malpractice 4:21 17,18,19,22,24,25 13:24 10:24 passed 6:12 14:1,3 17:5,6,12,14 20:19, managed 14:25 **Patty** 8:9,12 Ν management 20:9 21:1, portions 20:23 paused 17:3 **named** 10:19 **position** 5:8 6:15 7:2 manager 14:24 15:3,7, **pay** 26:5,17,18 13:13,15 14:12 25:7 17,23 17:17 18:11,18 Nationwide 13:10 paying 20:2 19:10 21:3,5,11,23 23:2 positions 25:21 nonetheless 11:19 24:21 people 25:17,25 26:6 post-2008 27:7 Notary 3:9 managers 17:20 21:19 percent 27:15,16 potential 18:6 22:25 noted 3:4 **period** 18:23 19:11 practices 13:22 20:9,10, managing 23:21,24 notice 3:15 24:24 25:2,4 permissible 27:10 13.14.18 Mark 4:10 number 8:19,21 19:8,24 person 22:8 pressure 26:24 27:1 24:16 **market** 26:22 personal 9:7 10:1,13,22, **pretty** 25:23 numbers 19:19 25:4 marketing 23:16 26:9 **prior** 13:8 15:2 19:13 marketplace 26:21 personally 18:1 Procedure 3:14 master 18:1

profitability 26:9,11,24 27:1

property 9:8,11 10:1,9, 14,21,23 12:6,8 13:18 20:12 21:11,18,23,24 22:1 23:2,16

protocols 20:4,21,25

provide 23:3

provided 9:9 22:11

provision 17:11,14

provisions 11:22 12:24 14:3 20:19

Public 3:10

purpose 3:11 5:6

purposes 3:12

pursuant 3:14

put 19:19 27:23

Q

question 3:16 12:7 17:1, 10,21 23:18 24:12 26:2

questions 4:9 28:1

quick 27:22

quoted 11:19

R

rate 26:16

rates 27:6,13

rating 26:9

ratio 24:1,15,18 26:7,10

ratios 24:3,5,11 27:10

read 13:24

reading 3:17

reask 4:9

reason 16:10

reasons 18:7

recall 17:7,9 19:25 20:2

received 9:10

recent 26:22,25

recess 27:25

recollection 19:23

recommend 28:6

record 3:4 18:3

reference 10:19

referenced 9:25 12:1

22:8

references 9:7 20:15

22:1

refers 9:14

relied 8:22

relying 8:8,15

remain 26:14

remember 4:24

remove 26:21

rep 13:14 14:18

report 16:3 22:20,21,25 23:4,7,18

REPORTER 28:13

reserved 3:16

responsibilities 24:13

responsibility 26:8

responsible 25:24

rest 26:19

return 27:9

review 7:16 20:9 21:1,7,

19 28:5,8

reviewed 22:15

Reviewing 10:3,9,18

11:8

RMR 3:9

role 14:5,19,21,23,24 15:7 19:13 21:12 22:4 23:24

roundtables 21:8

rules 3:14 20:25

run 15:24

S

salaried 25:7

salary 25:9,12

schedule 10:1

scheduled 9:7 10:13

12:5,8,13,14

section 10:9

send 22:18 28:7,11

senior 13:14,17 14:18

services 22:23

set 16:18

setting 24:18

shared 22:23

sheet 28:12

shortage 10:25

showing 10:7 25:13

side 23:22,24

signing 3:17

similar 17:8

Sioux 3:7 23:11

sir 7:6 9:16

six-year 18:11,23,25

19:10

sound 15:20,21

South 3:7,10 14:15,16,22 15:17 16:21 17:17 18:12

23:9,25 24:3,6,20

span 18:11

specialist 14:7

specialization 21:20

specific 6:8 17:7 24:12

specifically 12:13

speculating 18:19 19:23

speculation 7:10

spoke 6:16

staffer 13:17

standard 16:20

start 16:1

started 4:8 13:12 27:5

starts 10:4

state 3:10 4:2,12 17:17 21:3,5 22:25 26:17

statement 5:22 6:3 7:1, 22

states 5:19

stipulated 3:2

storm-related 18:21

strike 6:13

supervisor 21:14,16

supervisors 20:9

suppose 25:14

sworn 3:24

system 17:23

systems 20:4

Τ

taking 10:25 23:18

talk 7:19

talking 11:2 19:9

taught 13:24 14:1,3

telling 10:15

ten 13:10 14:20 19:20

territory 23:25

testified 3:25

testimony 12:2,7 17:14

theft 10:20,21 15:5,10,13, 23 16:4 17:24 18:4,13,22 19:1,9,16,22,25 20:13 22:7

things 22:10 23:22,24 25:23

threshold 20:17

time 3:6,16 6:16 7:16 9:22 17:8,24 18:23,25 19:11 21:14 22:21 24:21 27:4

worked 13:6,10,11 14:17 times 4:6,16 title 14:7 21:10 working 13:8 **Tom** 5:2 world 26:16 **Tom's** 7:12,15 worried 26:2 **total** 18:19,20 worry 25:23,24 track 24:3 write 7:7 16:14 trained 13:22 written 3:14 **transcript** 3:18 28:5,7,12 wrongful 8:23 **trial** 3:12,16 **wrote** 8:12 triggered 9:3 Υ true 16:4 24:17 **turn** 8:11 9:17,21,24 **years** 13:7,10,11 14:13,20 11:10 15:22 18:18 19:17,19,20, 21 26:22,25 27:19,20 Twenty-five 13:7 types 17:18 22:25 typo 11:24,25 U understand 17:21 24:17 26:1 understanding 16:13 19:20 26:10 underwriting 18:8,10 23:15 universe 17:15 ٧ variety 26:20 verbiage 7:13 versed 16:16 video 4:10 W waived 3:18 Wittrock 23:10 26:6 **words** 7:15 work 19:17 21:7,8 25:13